Thursday, February 13, 2025
7:00 PM
Williamsport, PA

Council President Adam Yoder brought the Williamsport City Council meeting to order on Thursday, February 13, 2025 at 7:00 PM.at Trade & Transit Center II, 144 W. Third St, Williamsport, PA. This meeting was duly advertised in the Williamsport Sun-Gazette.

Council members present:
Adam Yoder, President
Eric Beiter, Vice President
Liz Miele, Councilwoman
Randy Allison, Councilman,
Vincent Pulizzi, Councilman
Jon Mackey, Councilman,
Bonnie Katz, Councilwoman
Absent:
Liz Milele

Also, Present:
Derek Slaughter, Mayor, absent
Valerie Fessler
Sam Aungst, Fire Chief,
Justin Snyder, Police Chief absent
Solicitor Austin White
Solicitor
Janice Frank, City Clerk
Jamie Livermore
Scott Livermore, S&P absent
Kris Black
Bill Scott
Members of the public

Approval of the Williamsport City Council minutes of 01/30/25 were approved upon a motion **by Mr. Mackey and** second from **Mr. Pulizzi. All were in favor. The vote was 6 to 0. Ms. Miele was absent..**

Limited Courtesy of the Floor
There was no courtesy of the floor.

. Ordinance Approving the Editing & Inclusion of Certain Ordinances as Parts of the Various Component Codes of the Codified Ordinances of Williamsport, Pa. (first reading)
The City Clerk read the ordinance.
Mr. Yoder asked for a motion and second.
Mr. Pulizzi made the motion and it was seconded by Mr. Mackey.
Mr. Yoder So this is annual Ordinance we do every year this is factoring in and getting everything codified that we enacted last year. This is a housekeeping Ordinance that we do every year. Nothing super out of the ordinary of it. Janice, thank you very much. You do this every year. Grateful you are able to do it for us and getting it together and keep this moving along. So thank you for your hard work on it.
There were no questions.
The ordinance was carried with 6 yes roll call votes. The vote was 6 to 0. All were in favor.
Mr. Allison voted yes, Mr. Mackey voted yes, Mr. Pulizzi voted yes, Mrs. Katz voted yes, Mr. Beiter voted yes and Mr. Yoder voted. Yes. Ms. Miele was absent.

An Ordinance Amending Codified 353 Property Tax Abatement Industrial & Commercial(first reading)
The City Clerk read the ordinance.
Mr. Yoder asked for a motion and second
Mr. Mackey made the motion and it was seconded by Mr. Pulizzi.
Ms. Fessler . So the Ordinance you have in front of you is for the industrial and commercial -- Jason Fink is also here on behalf of the chamber to add on a little bit to what I have to say and answer questions you have. So this is the local revitalization tax law and it's time time to update our Ordinance and extended it so we are proposing so extended the Ordinance through December 31, 2026. But doing so our Ordinance will be in line with the school district. And Lycoming counties proposed Ordinance. Jason has the information about the county's proposed Ordinance they are putting there through you are. Our specific Ordinance in front of you other than changing the date there's one small change to it that you can see. We just removed the ability to apply for pre-approval for lerta and just to streamline our process a little bit. So if you have any questions for me or for Jason, he's here.
Mr. Beiter : Had we had anyone taking advantage of the program since we enacted it?
Ms. Fesler Yes, we have. I can only speak to individuals who -- or companies who have taken advantage of it since I took this position. But I think that we've probably processed 6 or 7 applications since July of last year when I came into the position.
Mr. Beiter: Is it something that's been beneficial to the Chamber of Commerce to help attract new business into the area?
Mr. Fink, First of all, thank you, council, appreciate you taking the time to consider this.
It is actually a key tool and. The great thing about LERTA is you are not giving up any existing taxes you are receiving. What you are doing is you are aiding the development to take place by letting them gradually get up to what the assessed value of the property is. I can set a couple examples where you have seen that happen already. Everybody is excited about Wawa being here or most everybody I should say probably. But that's one of the ones that benefited from it. And the developers utilized that to be able to get that. Because that was a challenged property. You look at some of the environmental challenges they had to deal with. They also have everybody is looking forward to it, Chick-fil-A is coming in. they have broken ground but you'll see that starting to go up here in April. There's also another piece of property right there as well that they are looking at being able to get some development. There are other components of this from the commercial and industrial side of it that you'll see within the city. The other key thing is that you'll also be voting on the residential side of it. Which to me is more exciting because the city is challenged to be able to get development on the residential side of it. However, as you can see in the paper, there are articles about the rest of the county where there's housing taking place. The city is challenged. And to be able to get something like this to be able to help with the residential as a resident in city to me that's better because of the fact you will be able to help

incentivize redevelopment of existing homes and hopefully increase their property values. But also some of the vacant lots that somebody could step in and build on we have a number of them. I drive by them every day. And it's something that I think would be a very good tool. And you are seeing some of that take place as well. The West end of the city you are seeing that take place. And those will be taxed at the full value and those homes are $200 to $300 homes. So to me that's an exciting part of the discussion.
I apologize for the long answer but I think it's a key tool. And again, I go back to, you are not giving anything up. Yep,.
Mrs. Katz : Jason, this is for you. First of all we know what the lerta has done to the city for many, many years it's a great benefit. And I think to clarify if I for people who are understanding and don't understand why the county and school district is involved. Would you like to give a brief explanation of that?
Mr. Fink Yes, what the state -- the state law requires the Ordinance be passed by a minimum of 2-3 taxing bodies within that given jurisdiction. To be able to get it enacted. The school district is noted has already approved theirs. Theirs was approved for a lengthier period of time when they enacted it initially.
And what we are asking for is both the city and the county to be able to extended their lertas to match up with the sunset of the school district, which would be 12, 31, 2026. But again, it can't be one, thing body it has to be 2 of the 3. Ideally all 3 because then everybody has skin in the game. [overlapping speakers]
Mrs. Katz : The other thing is we've never really been that successful with homeowner ship with lerta.
I think we got to promote it more and maybe they don't understand the public doesn't understand how to go about this. But like you said it would be a great benefit all the way around.
Mr. Fink And I will say we are seeing some of that now taking place with some of the homes, Bur connection homes has a set of homes in the West end they have out on the market.
In addition, I think the key thing is there's some other developments that I know of that are looking at the city in particular. To be able to do some developments that way as well in the residential side.
So if this is able to be passed, I think that will be another key incentive to get those developments to take place.
Mr. Yoder: Any other comments or questions? This is great. I mean, we are aligning with the other two bodies. I think it's great that all three have passed this and when we went through what was it? Was it two years ago now? Somewhere in there. I think we were the first ones to go. To really get that ball moving.
And so it's -- it is a logistical challenge, whatever you want to call it. Working with three bodies to do this. So just appreciate the chamber's efforts to getting this to where it's and our community economic development office and getting us to where it's at and this last final hurdle and I hope we can continue to see the progress this is being as a catalyst for development in the city specifically.
Mr. Fink And Bonnie, to ask to your question slash concern about the public knowing about it. Our office is working closely with Gary and the codes department so when folks go in to apply for building permits they are proactively given the information they don't magically have to know about LERTA and how to seek out the information it's provided to them moving forward with bare are you's assistance.
Mrs. Katz: I'm happy to hear that because it can be useful in the city and our housing leaves a lot to be desired in different areas.
The ordinance was carried with 6 yes roll call votes. The vote was 6 to 0. All were in favor.
Mr. Allison voted yes, Mr. Mackey voted yes, Mr. Pulizzi voted yes, Mrs. Katz voted yes, Mr. Beiter voted yes and Mr. Yoder voted. Yes. Ms. Miele was absent.

An Ordinance Amending Codified Ordinance 355 Property Tax Abatement: Residential (first reading)
The City Clerk read the ordinance.
Mr. Yoder asked for a motion and second
Mrs. Katz made the motion and it was seconded by Mr. Pulizzi.
Mr. Yoder: Any additional comments or questions from members? All right, hearing and seeing none, Mrs. Frank on item 7, please.
The ordinance was carried with 6 yes roll call votes. The vote was 6 to 0. All were in favor.
Mr. Allison voted yes, Mr. Mackey voted yes, Mr. Pulizzi voted yes, Mrs. Katz voted yes, Mr. Beiter voted yes and Mr. Yoder voted. Yes. Ms. Miele was absent.

Resolution #9892
Resolution Authorizing Professional & Administrative Services Agreement Between the City & Seda Cog for Environmental Review Services for the Willow Street Project
The City Clerk read the resolution.
Mr. Yoder asked for a motion and second.
Mr. Pulizzi made the motion and it was seconded by Mr. Beiter.
Ms. Fessler It's me again. I'll add that Jamie from SEDA Cog is available on Zoom if you have specific questions for her. This resolution authorizing an environmental review for the project at Willow Street is essentially to meet the HUD guidelines the Willow Street project the old city revitalization taking place. There were funds put in the project from CDBG because we did that we are required to do a environmental review before we move forward with construction and this is the professional services agreement to meet those HUD requirements.
Mrs. Katz : Yes, it did. And I think Valerie just explain everything. This is just a contract that needs to be fulfilled at this point.
Mr. Beiter What kind of a timeline are we looking at for the complex completion of that project?
Ms. Fessler That's a great question the project itself or the environmental review? The environmental review will happen immediately upon signing this contract. But spa, the actual project, Bill has been working with Dewberry on engineering and design we can give you an update on that. > Willow Street we are going through final design and some final choices need to be made on the type of the surface we are going to use down there.
We are looking for plans to be completed and built this out and out to bid and built this year, actually, yeah.
The resolution was carried with 6 yes roll call votes. The vote was 6 to 0. All were in favor.
Mr. Allison voted yes, Mr. Mackey voted yes, Mr. Pulizzi voted yes, Mrs. Katz voted yes, Mr. Beiter voted yes and Mr. Yoder voted. Yes. Ms. Miele was absent

Resolution #9891
Resolution of the City of Williamsport Authorizing the Execution of a Customer Facilities intake & Income Verification Administrative Servies Agreement with Seda-Council of Governments

The City Clerk read the resolution.
Mr. Yoder asked for a motion and second
Mr. Beiter made the motion and it was seconded by Mr. Pulizzi.
Ms. Fessler As you know we are working with seda cog to administer the CDBG funds and this agreement will essentially give them an ability to work as an extra of our city staff to do income verification and process the eligible applicant for the owner occupied single family emergency rehabilitation program. That individuals in the city can apply for. So this will just allow SEDA Cog to assists us in processing those applications.
Mr. Yoder: This also went to Public Works, anything to add, Mrs. Katz.
Mrs. Katz : Yes, it's interesting because one of my questions was of the involvement with seda cog. And so many different areas of community development. And talking to Miss Fessler, they are getting involved with a lot of cities and townships across the state from the standpoint of there's so many rules and regulations that keep on changing that it's very difficult for sometimes for a adapt to keep on top of things. That's number 1. Number 2, there's $75,000 in an occupied grant. And I'm saying that right? Miss Fessler.
Ms. Fessler $75,000 of our 2025 grant funds are allocated to the owner occupied single family emergency rehab. And I did mention to Bonnie that dollar amount has not yet been approved by Council. It's in the public phase where our plan guess out to public for public comment and once we receive our allocation, which will be several months from now, that's when we bring before you our proposed use of that funding.But tentatively, we are allocating $75,000 to that use for this year.
Mrs. Katz : The other thing I would like to discuss is Randy has been working with step for a good many of years and he brought up a good point how to work together with community development and seda cog and step. Randy, would you like to take over with that a little bit?
Mr. Allison : Sure. The step has an allocation, has up to this point from the federal government, which makes up actually 85% of the money that comes in to step. And it's all spent on the programs, which are they are well-known, well established over the years like head start and transportation. All of those kinds of things. But to leverage our work together since we have 75,000 available, step has hundreds of thousands available, which is currently there's a high, high demand across the city for low-income housing needs in this area. So it is essential for us from an infrastructure point of view but also from a human point of view. The families that are affected and would be improved with this money. So we don't want to see that dry up. At the federal level. There are some it's that's just a forefront of a lot of discussion lately but I think that's worth the city doing what we can to keep those things in place.
Some things -- there's a lot of waste everywhere. But some things are not wasteful because they really help people that really need the resources that are available through that. I just thought maybe a discussion or at least -- and I'm sure Valerie is in touch with a lot of people in step so she's well aware about that but we want to be behind whatever we can do to keep that on solid footing.
Ms. Fessler Randy to speak to your point about wanting to continue receiving that federal allocation of head funding for us and to Bonnie's point of utilizing seda cog those things go forward because we need to be the best stewards we can be and use our funds as reasonable as possible and using seda cog as a consultant allows us to do that.
Jamie from SEDA COG is available if you have questions for her. But I don't think you do.
Mr. Yoder : Bonnie, Randy, thank you both very much. Are there any other comments or questions from members? Okay. Hearing and seeing none then on the motion for item 9, Mrs. Frank.
The resolution was carried with 6 yes roll call votes. The vote was 6 to 0. All were in favor.
Mr. Allison voted yes, Mr. Mackey voted yes, Mr. Pulizzi voted yes, Mrs. Katz voted yes, Mr. Beiter voted yes and Mr. Yoder voted. Yes. Ms. Miele was absent

Resolution #9893

Resolution Authorizing a MOU between the City of Williamsport & the Williamsport Area School District

The City Clerk read the resolution.
Mr. Yoder asked for a motion and second
Mr. Beiter made a motion and it was seconded by Mr. Pulizzi.
Chief Bolt l. Tonight before you we have a resolution authorizing a memorandum of understanding between the City of Williamsport? The Williamsport school district pertaining to the school resource officer program through the years 2024-2025. It's basically a carbon copy of the ones we had since 2022. Basically outlines the payment structure and the responsibility ifs the two SROs that we currently have at the school, which is sergeant Jody Miller and officer (inaudible)The school fully reimburses us for these terms for their work and their presence in the high school and the other surrounding schools. If you have any questions I'll be more than happy to answer.
Mr. Yoder: Are there any comments or questions from members? What's the -- has the rate changed? I mean I think that's always the question dialogue related to the SRO piece is the rate just to make sure.
Chief Bolt Yeah, the rate falls right in line with what the contracted officer salaries are. so the only change that's gone on in the past three years, four years has just been the contractual increase in their salaries.
Mr. Yoder: Updating the rate with the current contract.
Chief Bolt Correct. Other than that there's been no other changes and as I said the school district we invoice them that I reimburse us for the days the officer are working at the school district.
The resolution was carried with 6 yes roll call votes. The vote was 6 to 0. All were in favor.
Mr. Allison voted yes, Mr. Mackey voted yes, Mr. Pulizzi voted yes, Mrs. Katz voted yes, Mr. Beiter voted yes and Mr. Yoder voted. Yes. Ms. Miele was absent

Resolution #9894

Resolution Appointing a Sewage Enforcement Officer & Alternate for the City of Williamsport

The City Clerk read the resolution.
Mr. Yoder asked for a motion and second
Mr. Pulizzi made the motion and it was seconded by Mr. Beiter.
Mr. Scott this is to appoint a sewage enforcement officer and an alternate SEO for the city.
On January 21, we received a city email, from Janice . Janice received an email and passed it onto us and we were notified we by the requirement tall protection we need to do this hire an alternate SEO that's what we are doing.
We did research and we are looking to appoint Jon and Mike as the SEO and alternate SEO and Jon is in the township SEO and we talked to them and they are both interested and they would be willing to do this at $58 an hour, not to exceed $60 an hour.

Mr. Yoder: This was reading in Public Works, Mrs. Katz.
Mrs. Katz : Yes. The only statement I have to make these men are on is called as needed. They may never be called so it's just it's another law that was put into effect that we have to follow.
Mr. Scott If I could say we have public sewer this is for any on-lot septic's which I know we have that at elm park, which we are doing a project at elm park now which will be going out to bid this weekend, actually.
And you'll see some construction there this summer and there'll be new bathrooms there. So this will tie into that as well.
Mrs. Katz: That was the other thing too, how many sewers there were really. It's interesting. To hear that. You would think everything would be city in the city but it's not. Just a statement.
Mr. Yoder: Thank you, Mrs. Katz. Are there any other comments or questions from members?
Mr. Allison : I just had one. And I missed this the other day in our committee meeting. But there's language in here about the agency collecting fees or different things like that. Would that ever be activated under some kind of circumstance?
Mr. Scott As far as the EP agency?
Mr. Allison : The local agency.
Mr. Scott We would not and our authority is not involved so I would say no.
Mr. Allison : Okay. I just wondered what that language meant in there. Or who that applied to.
Mr. Yoder: Bill, can you clarify is this for city property.
Mr. Scott, City property only.
Mr. Yoder: It's not like if there's an issue on my street this person is going to come out there and this isn't for private property this is for public property like parks.
Mr. Scott No, it is for private property, absolutely.
Mr. Yoder: Okay so it's for any property in the city limits.
: And then I guess I mean, where are we pulling any -- if we need to -- if we need to pay for this like I guess how are we -- do we have any idea how we are planning to pay for any of these fees should we need to deploy these officers?
Like I don't know -- so if I'm reading this right, 400-gallons per day. It seems like that's pretty sizable issue that needs to happen for the sewage enforcement officers to go out, right?
Mr. Scott So I think us needing to deploy this person are low to non-existent.
Mr. Yoder: But have we identified where we would pay for that, if God forbid we would need to.
Mr. Scott I haven't looked much into it but I assume if it's a project the city is doing it would be part of the project funds that pay for that. If not it would come out of the contract service in the Public Works area, I would assume.
We have to pay for it from somewhere, so we would either do that or the project. Depending on what it pertains to.
Mr. Yoder: I recognize there's a level of learning here like this is a new thing. Frankly that none of us anticipated needing. I wasn't aware of it. I know you weren't. So-so I know Janice wasn't. So we at least thought a little bit about like -- we thought a little bit about the nuance of financially should we need this, where will we go? Okay, thanks. Those were my only real two. So Mr. Mackey.
Mr. Mackey: When did we receive this letter?
Mr. Scott January 21st I believe. We are supposed to appoint someone within a month.
Mr. Mackey : That's my next question, what kind of time frame?
Mr. Scott They said they want it done in a month.
Mr. Mackey : And if we didn't have anybody waiting in the wings soundeds like we did what was the process there.
Mr. Scott I don't know. I have to think about that and try to solve the --
Mr. Mackey : I know it's only February and I'm assuming then this would be a new line item for next year's budget putting some money aside for this.
Mr. Scott Potentially.
Mr. Mackey: See if we spend any money on it this year, hopefully we don't.
Mr. Beiter : You mentioned the two gentleman already have this position in other municipalities but what are their qualifications that allow them to do this? Do they have to have a state license?
Mr. Scott Yes, there's certification you have to do a training and take a test to be a SEO. You can look it up. I passed the form onto Janice. It has their license number. I have that here. I don't know if you guys got that.
But they are registered at the state as SEOs.
Mr. Yoder: Yeah, I would think as we -- I don't know how soon. But I think as we see this potentially utilized, learn about just the ins and outs of it, depending on the demand this might be something we look at doing in-house. Whether it's certifying a current staff member. I mean, if it's a large volume, probably not. But I think that's -- I assume that's part of the learning element to this. Is understanding what is this role going to look like for us on a somewhat consistent basis. >> I contacted the sewer authority they don't have anyone with SE O certification.
Public sewer they don't need that so doesn't really surprise me. Just because there's no on-lot systems really in the city. The only one I know is elm park.

The resolution was carried with 6 yes roll call votes. The vote was 6 to 0. All were in favor.
Mr. Allison voted yes, Mr. Mackey voted yes, Mr. Pulizzi voted yes, Mrs. Katz voted yes, Mr. Beiter voted yes and Mr. Yoder voted. Yes. Ms. Miele was absent

Resolution #9896
Resolution for Professional Services agreement with BRIX for Bowman Field

The City Clerk read the resolution.
Mr. Yoder asked for a motion and second
Mr. Beiter made the motion and it was seconded by Mr. Pulizzi.
Mr. Scott I . Want to present a resolution for your consideration. This resolution authorizes BRIX design group. Out of Williamsport here. To provide professional engineering services we have to design a new netting system at the Bowman field. This would be funded under the PADCED, the department of community economic development through a tourism grant, which we recently received. The project will focus on replacing existing netting and adding new netting to extended further along the first and third baselines. This has to cover all spectator areas.
The project looks to replace protective netting through the field of play and the spectator seating and viewing areas.
The project will include the following work, task 14 as project kick off and employment and design.

Task 2 is bidding and construction administration. To a lump sum not to exceed $25,500.
Mr. Yoder: That went to a Public Works as well. Mrs. Katz, anything to add?
Mrs. Katz: First of all, I didn't read the whole resolution at first and I got down to 25,000 and I thought, wow, are we getting a deal with netting because I knew that was expensive. Then understanding that of course it's just the engineering cost. The netting itself is going to run, Mr. Scott, about over 100,000, right?
Mr. Scott, Yeah. 150 possible, 200 as a preliminary estimate.
Mrs. Katz : The netting you cannot take that up and down. You really can't. And there is a life existence of this netting. But Mr. Scott did you find out what the netting that we already had up had we replace that had? I didn't ask that question of Public Works. Do you know?
Mr. Scott, Doug asked emails from cutters, I've seen around 2018, 19 some work I believe, before my time.
But if that rings a bell to anyone, maybe back then some new netting was put up.
Mrs. Katz : Yeah, and I didn't remember what the lifespan is of this. And I think this is something that hopefully we can continue to get grants because this is quite expensive to do every few years. And when I say few it's few. It's still in awe you got to come up with the money somewhere And this is MLB stating this. And I think it's for my every Ballfield to make everything safe for the people that are sitting behind the game. So other than that, -- oh that's the other question. The 25,500 is that under the grant?
Mrs. Katz : Where is that money?
Mr. Scott Yes, it is.
Mrs. Katz : Okay just wanted to clarify that and that's all I have right now.
Mr. Yoder To clarify the grant is through Bowman field. I forget the name of it but it's essentially like the sports, right? The sports marketing tourism. Okay. All right.
Okay. Hearing and seeing none then, Mrs. Frank on item 12.
The resolution was carried with 6 yes roll call votes. The vote was 6 to 0. All were in favor.
Mr. Allison voted yes, Mr. Mackey voted yes, Mr. Pulizzi voted yes, Mrs. Katz voted yes, Mr. Beiter voted yes and Mr. Yoder voted. Yes. Ms. Miele was absent

Resolution # 9895
Resolution for Professional Services Agreement with WSP for PPL Transmission line

The City Clerk read the resolution.
Mr. Yoder asked for a motion and second
Mr. Beiter made the motion and it was seconded by Mr. Allison.
Mr. Scott stated. This resolution authorizes the execution of a work order 10 agreement between WSP and the city. WSP is our consultant engineer for the levy. They will provide support and coordination regarding the installation of a new transmission line across the river. To 69-kilovolt high voltage transmission line coming across Hepburn street to connect to the Hepburn street substation. PPL is doubling basically basically the carrying ability from 2 to 4 circuits. The power lines will interconnect with the local substations and provide system redundancy and reliability.
Their total lump sum not to exceed figure is $5,000. We have a master services agreement with them from 2018. And there was a amendment to that in January of 2022 for various work orders. As I said this is work order number 10 on that agreement.
Mr. Yoder : This did not go to a committee. So any comments, questions from members on item 13?
Okay. Hearing and seeing none, then Mrs. Frank on item 13.
The resolution was carried with 6 yes roll call votes. The vote was 6 to 0. All were in favor.
Mr. Allison voted yes, Mr. Mackey voted yes, Mr. Pulizzi voted yes, Mrs. Katz voted yes, Mr. Beiter voted yes and Mr. Yoder voted. Yes. Ms. Miele was absent

Accept for filing
Finance Committee Minutes 11/5/24
Mr. Yoder asked for a motion and second.
Mr. Allison made the motion and it was seconded by Mr. Mackey.
The minutes were accepted for filing with 6 yes roll call votes. The vote was 6 to 0. All were in favor.
Mr. Allison voted yes, Mr. Mackey voted yes, Mr. Pulizzi voted yes, Mrs. Katz voted yes, Mr. Beiter voted yes and Mr. Yoder voted. Yes. Ms. Miele was absent

Announcements
The next regularly scheduled City Council meeting will be held on Thursday February 27, 2025 at **7:00** PM, **Trade & Transit II 144 West Third St, 3rd t floor,** Williamsport, PA.

Upcoming Meetings:

Monday Feb. 17	CITY HALL CLOSED	
Tuesday, Feb. 18	6:30 PM	HARB Committee
Wednesday , Feb. 19	10::00 AM	Blighted Property
Thursday, Feb. 20	10:30 AM	Zoning Hearing Board
	7:00 PM	City Hall Discussion
Tuesday, Feb. 25	11: 30 AM	Redevelopment Authority
	11:30 AM	Public Safety Committee
	1:00 PM	Finance Committee
	2:30 PM	Public Works
Wednesday Feb. 26	9:00 AM	ERC
Thursday Feb 27	7:00 PM	City Council Meeting

Comments:
a. City Council
b. Administration
c. Members of the Public
d. News Media

Adjournment

Mr. Yoder asked for a motion to adjourn.

Meeting adjourned upon motion by **Mr. Mackey** and a second by **Mrs. Katz**. Meeting adjourned at **7:48 PM** with unanimous ayes.

Submitted by:

Janice M. Frank
City Clerk